

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P.No.28177 of 2005

Date:06.01.2006

Between:

K.Varun Krishna Rao

-----PETITIONER

AND

N.T.R. University of Health Sciences and another

-----RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

-

The petitioner is a student of M.B.B.S. final year. He has appeared in the final examinations held in October, 2005. He was declared to have passed in all subjects, but failed in the subject of pediatrics, with three marks. The petitioner submitted an application for re-totalling. The petitioner states that he has secured very high marks, all through in the M.B.B.S. course and that there was some defect or omission in the valuation of his answer script. He states that though he applied for re-totalling, it was fixed on 24.01.2006 and the next part of the course is to commence much before that. Several contentions were urged to suggest that the evaluation of the answer script was not properly done.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel for the respondents.

On 02.01.2006, the writ petition came up for admission. Since the petitioner expressed urgency in the matter, the date of re-totalling was advanced to 05.01.2006. The respondents promptly complied with the same and it is reported that in the re-totalling, undertaken in the presence of the petitioner on 05.01.2006, no change has been noticed. The petitioner prays for a direction to the respondents to

undertake revaluation of the answer script.

Admittedly, there is no provision for revaluation of answer scripts in M.B.B.S. course. The only remedy, namely, that of re-totalling has already been availed by the petitioner. It is stated that during the course of re-totalling, the petitioner noticed that some of the answers were not awarded any marks at all. This Court is not inclined to undertake any further exercise in this regard. If the petitioner is of the view that any answers written by him in the examination were not awarded any marks, it shall be open to him to make a representation to the Head of the Department concerned.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of, leaving it open to the petitioner to make a representation to the Head of the Department, narrating his grievance, who, in turn, shall take necessary action in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

06.01.2006

kdl